## Correlation Coefficient

Can you measure how strong or how weak the relationship is between two variables? The correlation coefficient measures the degree of linear relationship between two variables.


The population correlation coefficient is denoted by $\rho$. Its value ranges from -1 (perfect negative correlation) to +1 (perfect positive correlation). The closer the correlation coefficient is to either -1 or +1 , the stronger the linear relationship is. The closer the correlation coefficient to 0 , the weaker the linear relationship is.


A correlation coefficient of 0 means there is no linear relationship between the two variables, but it does not indicate that there is no association. There may exist a relationship between the two variables that is not linear when the correlation coefficient is 0 . Figure 6.4 shows the scatter plots with the corresponding descriptions of the linear associations between the two variables.


Figure 6.4 Scatter plots with correlation descriptions


The Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient or sample correlation coefficient $r$ can be computed by

$$
r=\frac{s_{x y}}{\sqrt{s_{x}^{2} s_{y}^{2}}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{x y}=\frac{1}{n-1}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i} Y_{i}-\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}\right)}{n}\right], \\
& s_{x}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2}-\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}\right)^{2}}{n}\right], \text { and } \\
& s_{y}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}^{2}-\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}\right)^{2}}{n}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting these formulas to the formula for $r$, and eventually cancelling the factor $\frac{1}{n-1}$, you can derive the following formula.

$$
r=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{n}}{\sqrt{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}-\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{2}}{n}\right]\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{2}-\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\right)^{2}}{n}\right]}}
$$

Simplifying further, you get

$$
r=\frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{\sqrt{\left[n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}-\left(n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{2}\right]\left[n \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{2}-\left(n \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}\right)^{2}\right]}} .
$$

where $x_{i}$ is the value of the independent variable $x$ for the $i$ th observation, $y_{i}$ is the value of the dependent variable $y$ for the $i$ th observation, and $n$ is the sample size on number of paired observations.

## Example 6.4

In example 6.1, what is the degree of linear relationship between height and arm span? Interpret.

| Height | Arm Span |
| :---: | :---: |
| 63 | 61 |
| 59 | 62 |
| 62 | 63 |
| 67 | 64 |
| 62 | 61 |
| 71 | 72 |
| 67 | 66 |
| 59 | 57 |
| 72 | 72 |
| 68 | 66 |

## Solution.

Find the values of $n, \Sigma x, \Sigma y, \Sigma x y, \Sigma x^{2}$, and $\Sigma y^{2}$, and then substitute these in the sample correlation coefficient formula.

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $x^{2}$ | $y^{2}$ | $x y$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 63 | 61 | 3969 | 3721 | 3843 |
| 2 | 59 | 62 | 3481 | 3844 | 3658 |
| 3 | 62 | 63 | 3844 | 3969 | 3906 |
| 4 | 67 | 64 | 4489 | 4096 | 4288 |
| 5 | 62 | 61 | 3844 | 3721 | 3782 |
| 6 | 71 | 72 | 5041 | 5184 | 5112 |
| 7 | 67 | 66 | 4489 | 4356 | 4422 |
| 8 | 59 | 57 | 3481 | 3249 | 3363 |
| 9 | 72 | 72 | 5184 | 5184 | 5184 |
| 10 | 68 | 66 | 4624 | 4356 | 4488 |
| Sum | 650 | 644 | 42446 | 41680 | 42046 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
r & =\frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{\sqrt{\left[n \sum_{i=1}^{2} x_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} x_{i}\right)^{2}\right]\left[n \sum_{i=1}^{2} y_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} y_{i}\right)^{2}\right]}} \\
& =\frac{10(42046)-(650)(644)}{\sqrt{\left[10(42446)-(650)^{2}\right]\left[10(41680)-(644)^{2}\right]}} \\
& =0.925 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The degree of linear relationship between height and arm span is 0.925 . Thus, there is a very strong positive direct linear relationship between height and arm span.

$$
\begin{aligned}
r & =\frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} y_{i}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}}{\sqrt{\left[n \sum_{i=1}^{2} x_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} x_{i}\right)^{2}\right]\left[n \sum_{i=1}^{2} y_{i}^{2}-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} y_{i}\right)^{2}\right]}} \\
& =\frac{9(209350)-(441)(4600)}{\sqrt{\left[9(25395)-(441)^{2}\right]\left[9(2420000)-(4600)^{2}\right]}} \\
& =-0.994 .
\end{aligned}
$$

There is a very strong negative correlation between mileage and the price of the used vans at -0.994 . This implies that as mileage increases, the price of the used vans decreases.

